

PROGRAM MATERIALS
Program #29176
December 23, 2019

Asserting and Defending Bid Protests for Federal Contractors

Copyright ©2019 by Maria Panichelli, Esq. - Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP All Rights Reserved. Licensed to Celesq®, Inc.

Celesq® AttorneysEd Center www.celesq.com

5301 North Federal Highway, Suite 180, Boca Raton, FL 33487
Phone 561-241-1919 Fax 561-241-1969



Asserting and Defending Bid Protests For Federal Government Contractors

Presented By:

Maria L Panichelli, Esq.

Meet the Presenter



Partner and Chair,
Government Contracting Group
Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel

Maria.Panichelli@Obermayer.com 215.665.3017 @MariaPanichelli www.linkedin.com/in/mariapanichelli





It All Starts with a Requirement...

In a nutshell...

- Government Identifies a Need
- Solicitation is Put "Out on the Street"
- Contractors Compete
- Awardee(s) Chosen
- Performance or Protest!

** FBO -> Beta Sam



What Laws Govern Protests?

- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)
 - Click HERE for the complete document
- Forum Rules



FAR Organization

- The FAR is codified in Title 48 in the Code of Federal Regulations.
 - Chapter 1 is the FAR itself
 - Parts 1-51: Administration and Instructions
 - Part 52: Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses
- FAR Part 33.1 Deals with Protests
 - But substantive argument bases might be impacted by other FAR sections
- Agency Supplemental Regulations



Asserting Protests



What Type of Protest Is It?



Bid Protests

• Challenge raised by contractor (competitor), based on alleged improprieties in source selection process (i.e. failure of agency to comply with applicable law, terms of solicitation, etc.)

Size Protests

 Challenge raised by contractor (competitor), based on awardee eligibility (specifically with regard to size)

Status Protests

 Challenge raised by contractor (competitor), based on awardee eligibility (specifically with regard to other eligibility issues)





FAR Part 14 Sealed Bidding

•FAR Part 15 Contract by Negotiation



- FAR Part 15 Contract by Negotiation
 - Competitive Range?



- FAR Part 15 Contract by Negotiation
 - •LPTA?



- Part 8 Required Sources of Supplies and Services
 - 8.4 Federal Supply Schedules
- Part 12 Acquisition of Commercial Items
- Part 13 Simplified Acquisition Procedures
- Part 16 Types of Contracts
 - 16.5 Indefinite Delivery Contracts
- Part 17 Special Contracting Methods



Critical Questions

- What type of contract?
 - Is the contract award at issue an IDIQ? Set-aside contract? A Task Order?
 Under a GWAC? Etc.
- Who are you dealing with?
 - One Agency, or multiple Agencies? Which role is which agency playing?
 - Task Order Dollar Thresholds?
 - DoD Debriefing Rules?
- How many awards are being made?
- What is the scope of work/services contemplated by the solicitation?
- Does the contractor want a stay?



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Where?

Where are Protests Heard?

- Contracting Officer/Agency Protest
- U.S. Government Accountability Office ("GAO")
- U.S. Court of Federal Claims ("COFC")



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Where?

Which Forum is Right for You?

- Cost of Litigation v. Size of Contract at Issue
- Complexity of Issues
- Objectivity (Agency Counsel v. DOJ)
- Stay/Injunctive Relief
- Jurisdictional Concerns
- Coordination with Similarly Situated Protestors (GAO/COFC)



Bid Protest Fundamentals: How?

How do Protests Work? How do they Progress?

- Very Condensed Litigation
 - Protest
 - Docketing/Protective Order
 - Agency Record/Report
 - (- 5 days, "Five Day Letter")
 - Comments to Agency Report /Supplemental Protests
 - Potential Additional Rounds
 - (Hearing?)
 - Decision



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Why? What Types of Issues are "Protestable?"

Pre-Award Protests Based on Errors in Solicitation

- Ambiguous or contradictory terms
- Inclusion of wrong or prohibited provisions
- Exclusion of required terms/provisions
- Unduly restrictive terms
- Set-aside/"rule of two"/Kingdomware issues



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Why? What Types of Issues are "Protestable?"

Common Non-Price Evaluation Factor Issues

- Unequal or disparate treatment of offerors
- Unstated evaluation criteria or subfactor, etc.
- Error in applying evaluation criteria/assigning ratings
- Discussions
 - Meaningful
 - Misleading
 - Uneven
 - (v. Clarifications)



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Why? What Types of Issues are "Protestable?"

Common Price Issues

- Price Reasonableness
- Price Realism
- Balanced Pricing
- HUBZone Preference
- Wage Determination Issues



Not All Protests Are Created Equal

How Do You Decide When To Pursue a Protest . . . and When To Let it Go?

- Cost v. Likelihood of Success
 - Forum, Number of Parties, Rounds of Briefing
 - Speculative or Based on Good Intel?
 - Objective v. Subjective Arguments; Discretion, Deference and Clear Error
 - Procedural Arguments?
 - "Sometimes You Don't Know if You Have a Protest...." No Longer a Viable Gambit
 - Ultimate Benefit or Empty Victory?
- Practical Concerns
 - Agency Relations?
 - Do you want (and can you get) a Stay?



Bid Protest Fundamentals: Who?

Not Everyone Can Protest. You Must Be an "Interested Party" to Have "Standing"

- (1) Actual or prospective bidder or offeror, (2) whose direct economic interest would be affected by award of contract or by failure to award contract
- Must show prejudice
- Key questions:
 - Were you in line for award?
 - Was the evaluation system so flawed that the rankings are completely irrelevant?
 - Eligibility Issues?

This is deceptively difficult, and can get VERY technical and very complicated



Bid Protest Fundamentals: What?

What Do You Need To File to Meet Procedural Requirements?

- FORMAT: Differs by Forum
 - Agency and GAO A Letter
 - COFC
 - Complaint
 - If seeking a stay, you likely also need a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, a Motion for Preliminary Injunction, a Memorandum in Law in support of the two motions, possibly affidavits, etc.



Bid Protest Fundamentals: What?

What Do You Need To File to Meet Procedural Requirements?

- SUBSTANCE: Same across all Forums
 - Need to get past "speculation" level
 - Failure to provide specific details and concrete facts may support a motion to dismiss



Bid Protest Fundamentals: When?

When Do You Need to File? Well, It Depends!

The Answer Varies Depending on Questions Like:

- What type of protest is this? Where in the procurement timeline are you?
- What type of procurement was at issue? Was a debriefing required? What type of debriefing did you get? Is the debriefing concluded?
- Do the DoD Enhanced Debriefing Rules apply? Where are you in that process?
- What forum are you going to?
- Do you want a Stay?



Where are you on the Timeline?

Solicitation

- Are there problems with the solicitation itself?
- → Pre-award bid protests based on errors in solicitation



Where are you on the Timeline?

FAR Part 14 - Bid Opening / Award

→ Post-award bid protest based on improprieties in award



Where are you on the Timeline?

FAR Part 15

Source Selection Process

- Is this a procurement that utilized competitive range? Were you improperly excluded from the competitive range?
- → Pre-award protest bid based on exclusion from competitive range

Award Decision

- Is the procurement at issue a set-aside?
- → Size or Status Protest

Notice of Award

- Is there a required debriefing?
- → Post-award bid protest



A Word On Required Debriefings

When is a Debriefing Required?

Why Do You Need to Care?

- Pro Tip: Get a Debrief, even if contractor won!
 - Dual purpose: Debriefs are a great way to gather information, BOTH to assess potential protests, but also to improve your own proposals going forward. Contractors should utilize this chance to grow!



Know Your Triggers!

FAR Part 14

Bid Opening/Award

FAR Part 15

- Pre-Award Exclusion Notice 15.503(a)(1)
 - → 3 days to request debriefing in writing
- Pre-Award Notice of Intent to Award to Small Business FAR 15.503(a)(2)
 - → 5 days to file a size/status protest
- Post-Award Notice / Notice to Unsuccessful Offeror
 – FAR 15.503(b)(1)
 - → 3 days to request debriefing in writing
- Other sections of the FAR, GWACs, Outliers?





Pre-Award Debriefing

At a minimum, the pre-award debriefings must include:

- The agency's evaluation of significant elements in the offeror's proposal;
- A summary of the rationale for why the offeror was not selected for an award; and,
- Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed in the process of eliminating the offeror from the competition.



Pre-Award Debriefing

Pre-award debriefings shall not disclose:

- The number of offerors;
- The identity of other offerors;
- The content of other offerors proposals;
- The ranking of other offerors;
- The evaluation of other offerors; or
- Any of the information prohibited in 15.506(e) (Trade Secrets, Privileged or confidential/proprietary information, past performance references, etc.)



Post-Award Debriefing



- The Government's evaluation of the significant weaknesses or deficiencies in the offeror's proposal;
- The overall evaluated cost or price (including unit prices) and technical rating of the successful offeror and the debriefed offeror, and past performance information on the debriefed offeror;
- The overall ranking of all offerors, when any ranking was developed by the Agency during the source selection;
- A summary of the rationale for award;
- For acquisitions of commercial items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the successful offeror; and
- Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the solicitation were followed.



Post-Award Debriefing



Post-award debriefings shall not include:

- Point-by-point comparisons of the debriefed offeror's proposal with those of other offerors.
- Any information prohibited from disclosure by 24.202 or exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) including
 - Trade secrets;
 - Privileged or confidential manufacturing processes and techniques;
 - Commercial and financial information that is privileged or confidential, including cost breakdowns, profit, indirect cost rates, and similar information; and
 - The names of individuals providing reference information about an offeror's past performance.



Bid Protest Fundamentals: When?

General Rules

- GAO
 - A "know or should have known" standard
 - 10 days/5 (4) days
- COFC



Bid Protest Fundamentals: When?

These Deadlines Are Complex and Nuanced and, Therefore, Can Be Confusing. They are also Strict, and NOT Subject to Extensions or Excuses

- Different timelines for different types of protests, different types of procurement, different forums
- Deadlines are concrete Hundreds of cases where GAO says no excuses
- Best practice advice is to call a government contracting attorney <u>ASAP!</u>



Defending Protests



Protest is Technically Against the Agency...

- How can you defend the Agency's actions?
- You often can't...
- Even though the contractor-awardee was the ultimate beneficiary, they had no control over the agency source selection process



Protest is Technically Against the Agency...

- This makes substantive arguments hard, at times
- But even in those circumstances you can usually find procedural arguments
- Often, through strategic planning, you can leverage those for a win or something close to a win



Protest is Technically Against the Agency...

- ...Which means that Agency Counsel will be defending against the protest
- But you need to protect YOUR client's rights.
- At the beginning, government/awardee interests are aligned. Often, interests stay aligned. But sometimes...
- Intervene!!!!



INTERVENTION!

- You can modulate efforts/legal costs from there, but you have your foot in the door, and are able to monitor the case, and cover your bases
- Provide support to the agency/DOJ



INTERVENTION!

 Cultivating a Relationship/Partnership with Agency Counsel/DOJ



Procedural Defenses

- Standing
- Jurisdiction
 - General
 - Claim v. Protest
 - Tucker Act v. APA
 - Task Orders
- Timeliness
 - Type of Protest
 - Debriefing Implications

Pseudo-Substantive Defenses

- Agency
 Deference/Discretion
- No Piecemeal Arguments



Other Procedural Tactics

- Defeat the Stay
- Limit Documents in Agency Report





Questions?













Maria L. Panichelli, Esq.

Partner and Chair of the Government Contracting Practice Group

Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP

Centre Square West 1500 Market Street | Suite 3400 Philadelphia, PA 19102-2101 215.665.3017 tel | 215.665.3165 fax

Maria.Panichelli@obermayer.com | www.obermayer.com

Weekly Government Contracting Blog: www.GovConExaminer.com GovConExaminer Live! Monthly Webinar Series: Register Here!

